



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,984	09/07/2006	Tomoyasu Takase	2006_1307A	9319
52349 7590 12/02/2008 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK L.L.P. 2033 K. STREET, NW SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			EXAMINER LE, HUYEN D	
			ART UNIT 2614	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 12/02/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/591,984

**Applicant(s)**

TAKASE ET AL.

**Examiner**

HUYEN D. LE

**Art Unit**

2614

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 October 2008.  
2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.  
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-28 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-10 is/are rejected.  
7) ☒ Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to.  
8) ☒ Claim(s) 11-28 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-85/86)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/07/08  
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_  
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application  
6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Election/Restrictions***

1. Applicant's election of claims 1-10 in the reply filed on 10/29/08 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsukamoto (JP 1-64283) as cited in the IDS filed 09/07/06.

Regarding claims 1, 4 and 5, Tsukamoto teaches a loudspeaker comprising a frame (4) bonded to a magnetic circuit (1, 2, 3), a diaphragm (7, 8) bonded to an outer periphery of the frame, a voice coil (6) that is bonded to the diaphragm and is partly disposed in a magnetic gap of the magnetic circuit, and a protector (9) bonded to the frame to cover the diaphragm. Further, Tsukamoto teaches a net (10a-10e, 11a-11b) made of material containing at least metal is bonded to the protector (figures 1 and 4).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 2, 3, 6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsukamoto (JP 1-64283) as cited in the IDS filed 09/07/06.

Regarding claim 2, Tsukamoto does not teach that the protector (9) is made of resin material as claimed. However, it is well known in the art to provide the protector of a speaker being made of resin material.

Since Tsukamoto does not restrict to any kind of material of the protector; it therefore would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the protector of Tsukamoto being made of resin material for an alternate choice.

Regarding claim 3, Tsukamoto does not teach that the net (the wires 10a-10e, 11a-11e) contains resin material. However, it is well known in the art to provide a resin material for a wire rod.

Since Tsukamoto does not restrict to any types of wire rod for the net; it therefore would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide a resin material for wire rod of the net (10a-10e, 11a-11e) of Tsukamoto for better protecting and providing the flexibility for the wire rod.

Regarding claim 6, Tsukamoto does not specifically teach that the net (10a-10e, 11a-11e) contains both copper material and nickel material. However, Tsukamoto does not restrict to any type of metallic wires for the net such as stainless steel wire, copper wire or nickel wire, etc.

Therefore, it for would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide any type of metallic wires for the net of Tsukamoto such as the net that contains both copper material and nickel material for an alternate choice.

Regarding claims 8-10, Tsukamoto does not specifically teach that the speaker is provided in a module, an electronic apparatus or a device as claimed in claims 8-10. However, providing a speaker for use in a module, an electronic apparatus or a device is known in the art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the speaker of Tsukamoto for use in any module, electronic apparatus or any device for greater application.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

6. Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

***Conclusion***

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hill (U.S. patent 4,949,386) teaches a construction of a waterproof ground audio speaker.

Jasinski (U.S. patent (4,012,605) teaches a grille (13) of an input/output transducer that can be formed of rigid material such as metal or hard plastic.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUYEN D. LE whose telephone number is (571) 272-7502. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CURTIS KUNTZ can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/HUYEN D. LE/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614

HL  
November 23, 2008